

Longitudinal 5-branes as 4-spheres in Matrix theory

Judith Castelino, Sangmin Lee and Washington Taylor IV

Department of Physics
Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544, U.S.A.
judithc, sangmin, wati @princeton.edu

Abstract

We present a construction in Matrix theory of longitudinal 5-branes whose geometry in transverse space corresponds to a 4-sphere. We describe these branes through an explicit construction in terms of $N \times N$ matrices for a particular infinite series of values of N . The matrices used in the construction have a number of properties which can be interpreted in terms of the 4-sphere geometry, in analogy with similar properties of the $SU(2)$ generators used in the construction of a spherical membrane. The physical properties of these systems correspond with those expected from M-theory; in particular, these objects have an energy and a leading long-distance interaction with gravitons which agrees with 11D supergravity at leading order in N .

December 1997

1 Introduction

One of the most convincing pieces of evidence for the Matrix theory conjecture [1] is the fact that supersymmetric matrix quantum mechanics seems to contain most of the extended objects of 11D supergravity, and that the interactions between these objects are described by a potential whose leading long-distance behavior agrees with supergravity. By now, we have a very good understanding of how the supermembrane arises in Matrix theory. This connection was first understood many years ago by de Wit, Hoppe and Nicolai who quantized the supermembrane theory in light-front gauge and arrived at precisely the Matrix theory Lagrangian [2, 3]. Banks, Fischler, Shenker and Susskind used this connection as evidence in their original presentation of the Matrix theory conjecture, where they constructed infinite membranes in terms of Matrix theory variables. It was shown that the infinite membranes of Matrix theory have the correct long-distance interactions in [4, 5]. More recently, it was proven that any Matrix theory solution corresponding to a classical membrane configuration interacts with other Matrix theory objects through a time-averaged potential which depends only on the energy of the state, amounting to a proof of the equivalence principle in matrix theory [6].

Our understanding of the M-theory 5-brane in Matrix theory, however, is currently much less complete. There are two ways in which we might expect a 5-brane to appear in Matrix theory. Depending upon whether the 5-brane has been wrapped around the longitudinal direction or not, the 5-brane will appear in Matrix theory as either a longitudinal 5-brane (L5-brane) which is extended in only four of the nine transverse Matrix theory dimensions, or as a transverse 5-brane which is extended in five of the transverse dimensions. The L5-brane was described as a Matrix theory background in [7]. It was argued in [8] that an L5-brane can be explicitly described in Matrix theory variables as an object with a set of four fields $X^i, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ having a nonzero value of the antisymmetric product

$$\text{Tr } \epsilon_{ijkl} X^i X^j X^k X^l. \quad (1)$$

This result was derived using T-duality on a compact 4-torus, and is simply the dual of the statement that an instanton on a 4-brane carries 0-brane charge [9, 10]. This identification of the L5-brane charge was found independently by Banks, Seiberg and Shenker [11], who calculated the Matrix theory supersymmetry algebra explicitly and found a central charge corresponding to (1) playing the role of L5-brane charge. The fact that infinite L5-branes have the correct long-distance gravitational interaction was verified in [12]. Thus, we have some understanding of how flat longitudinal 5-branes appear in Matrix theory. However, to date there has been little progress in understanding how to describe L5-branes which are embedded in any other way than as flat 4-surfaces in transverse space.

The goal of this paper is to construct L5-brane configurations which are not flat, but which correspond to configurations in transverse space with the topology of a 4-sphere. We have succeeded in doing this only for certain values of N . Our solutions correspond to completely symmetric spherical configurations. Although these solutions seem to have all

the properties we would expect of spherical (really, cylindrical) M-theory 5-branes, we have not found a convenient way of including fluctuations on the surfaces of these L5-branes which break the spherical symmetry. This is rather different from the situation for the membrane 2-sphere. In the case of the membrane, there are particularly simple solutions in the spherically symmetric case which are described using the N -dimensional $SU(2)$ generators in $U(N)$ [6, 13]. However, using the formalism of de Wit, Hoppe and Nicolai it is possible to include fluctuations by writing the matrices describing the spherical configuration as symmetrized polynomials in the $SU(2)$ generators.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we present the details of the Matrix 4-sphere construction. This construction is highly analogous to the construction of a symmetric membrane 2-sphere in Matrix theory in terms of $SU(2)$ generators. In Section 3 we discuss the properties of the Matrix 4-sphere solution, including the equations of motion, energy, 4-brane charge and gravitational interactions. We find that all calculations agree with expectations from M-theory. We also discuss some difficulties in trying to extend this construction to geometries with arbitrary fluctuations. Section 4 contains conclusions.

Note added: It was pointed out to us by Klimčík that the L5-brane 4-sphere construction described here is closely related to a “fuzzy” 4-sphere which has been considered in the context of 4D field theory on spaces with noncommutative geometry [14].

2 Matrix 4-sphere construction

2.1 Properties

To describe a classical Matrix configuration with the geometrical properties expected of a 4-sphere, we expect to have five $N \times N$ matrices $X_1, \dots, X_5$ which are nonzero and have certain properties which can be interpreted in terms of the 4-sphere geometry. These properties are analogous to similar properties discussed in [6] for the membrane 2-sphere, where the Matrix membrane 2-sphere is described by the $SU(2)$ generators J_i as in [3].

Before giving the explicit Matrix realization of the 4-sphere, let us list the properties we will expect of the matrices X_i . For each property we discuss the analogous property satisfied by the matrices $Y_i = 2rJ_i/N$ describing the spherical Matrix membrane.

I. Spherical locus

We expect that the 0-branes composing the membrane should be constrained in a noncommutative sense to lie on a 4-sphere of radius r . This corresponds to the algebraic condition

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 + X_4^2 + X_5^2 = r^2 \mathbb{1}.$$

This condition is analogous to the condition

$$Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + Y_3^2 = r^2 \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$$

satisfied by the matrices describing the spherical Matrix membrane. We only expect this relation to hold to leading order in $1/N$ due to the fuzzy nature of geometry at finite N .

II. Longitudinal 5-brane charge

It was shown in [8, 11] that an L5-brane in Matrix theory is locally described by a set of 4 matrices $Z_1, \dots, Z_4$ satisfying $\epsilon^{ijkl} Z_i Z_j Z_k Z_l = \alpha \mathbb{1}$ where α is a constant. So that the matrices X_i have the correct L5-brane charge, we impose the condition

$$\epsilon^{ijklm} X_i X_j X_k X_l = \alpha X_m \quad (2)$$

where m is any fixed value between 1 and 5, and where α is a constant to be determined. This is analogous to the condition $[Y_i, Y_j] \sim i\epsilon_{ijk} Y_k$ satisfied by the $SU(2)$ generators.

II'. Local flatness

As a direct consequence of the property (2) we find that the geometry of the spherical membrane appears locally to be that of a flat L5-brane. For example, by looking at the matrices X_i in the block where X_5 has eigenvalues close to r we find the relation

$$\epsilon^{ijkl} X_i X_j X_k X_l = \alpha r \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$$

where the epsilon contraction is over indices 1-4. This is analogous to the result that the Matrix membrane is locally described by, for example, $[Y_1, Y_2] \sim i\mathbb{1} + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$ in the block where Y_3 has eigenvalues close to r .

III. Rotational invariance

We would like the spherical L5-brane to have a Matrix description invariant under the $SO(5)$ symmetry of the 4-sphere. This corresponds mathematically to the condition that

$$R_{ij} \cdot X_j = U(R) \cdot X_i \cdot U(R^{-1})$$

where $R_{ij} \in SO(5)$ is an element of the rotation group and $U(R)$ is an N -dimensional unitary representation of $SO(5)$ (or its covering group). This corresponds to the result for the spherical membrane that the analogous condition holds where R is an element of $SO(3)$ and U is in a representation of the covering group $SU(2)$.

IV. Spectrum

We can always diagonalize a single matrix X_i . The eigenvalues of this matrix correspond to positions of the individual 0-branes on the i -axis. We expect that the 0-branes composing the L5-brane should have a density in each direction corresponding to the projected density of a 4-sphere along a single coordinate axis. This density should be proportional to $r^2 - x^2$ where x is the position along the i -axis. For the Matrix membrane this corresponds to the observation that the matrices Y_i have a spectrum which is uniformly distributed on the interval $[-r, r]$ as expected for the projected density of a 2-sphere.

2.2 Construction

We now give an explicit construction of a family of matrices X_i which satisfy the above conditions. We have found such matrices only for values of N of the form

$$N = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}.$$

In the next subsection we discuss the possibility of finding L5-brane spheres for other values of N .

To begin with, consider the 4×4 Euclidean gamma matrices Γ_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$. An explicit construction of these matrices in 2×2 block-diagonal form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_j \\ i\sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \\ \Gamma_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_2 \\ \mathbb{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Gamma_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where σ_j are the usual Pauli matrices. These matrices satisfy the conditions

$$\Gamma_i^2 = \mathbb{1}, \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = -\Gamma_j \Gamma_i \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

and

$$\epsilon^{ijklm} \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \Gamma_k \Gamma_l = 24 \Gamma_m. \tag{5}$$

From (4) and (5) we see that these matrices already satisfy conditions I and II which we expect of matrices describing an L5-brane sphere.

The commutators of the gamma matrices

$$\Gamma_{ij} = [\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j]/2 = \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \quad i \neq j$$

are a set of ten 4×4 matrices which satisfy the Lie algebra of $SO(5)$. These matrices form the 4-dimensional spin representation of this algebra. Using these matrices we can verify that property III is satisfied by the matrices Γ_i . It remains to be shown that we can use the Γ matrices as building blocks to describe an infinite family of matrices which still satisfy conditions I, II and III and whose spectrum of eigenvalues asymptotically approaches $r^2 - x^2$.

To construct our desired matrices X_i which describe the spherical L5-brane, we simply construct the n -fold symmetric tensor product representation of the Γ matrices

$$G_i^{(n)} = (\Gamma_i \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \Gamma_i \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1} + \dots + \mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \Gamma_i)_{\text{Sym}}$$

where by Sym we mean the restriction to the completely symmetrized tensor product space. The dimension of the n -fold symmetric tensor product space is given by

$$N = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}$$

and the matrices $G_i^{(n)}$ are therefore matrices of this size. A number of useful identities for the G matrices are collected in Appendix A. It is clear that the spectrum of eigenvalues for the matrices $G_i^{(n)}$ contains the set of integers in the range $[-n, n]$. Thus, we define the matrices X_i describing the 4-sphere through

$$X_i = \frac{r}{n} G_i^{(n)} \quad (6)$$

for an arbitrary value of n . We now proceed to verify that these matrices satisfy each of the desired properties I-IV.

Property I follows directly from the matrix identity (14)

$$\sum_i (G_i^{(n)})^2 = c \mathbb{1}_N = n(n+4) \mathbb{1}_N.$$

Clearly then,

$$\sum_i X_i^2 = \frac{r^2}{n^2} \sum_i (G_i^{(n)})^2 = r^2 \mathbb{1}_N + \mathcal{O}(1/n),$$

as needed for property I.

Without loss of generality, we can verify property II by just checking the case $m = 5$. Notice first that

$$\epsilon_{ijkl} X^i X^j X^k X^l = \{[X_1, X_2], [X_3, X_4]\} + \{[X_2, X_3], [X_1, X_4]\} + \{[X_3, X_1], [X_2, X_4]\},$$

where indices run from 1 to 4. Among the $24n^2$ terms on the right-hand side, the $24n$ terms of the form $\Gamma_{12}\Gamma_{34} \otimes \mathbb{1} \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}$ combine to make $24(r/n)^3 X_5$. Let us illustrate the effect of the $24n(n-1)$ cross-terms in the $n = 2$ case. For $n = 2$, we can write the cross-terms in the following form:

$$4 \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^4 \epsilon_{ijk} \{\Gamma_{ij} \otimes \Gamma_{k4} + \Gamma_{k4} \otimes \Gamma_{ij}\} = 8 \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^4 (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_i) \otimes (\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_i) + 8 \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^4 (\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_i) \otimes (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_i),$$

where the indices run from 1 to 3. In the last line, we used our explicit choices for the gamma matrices (3). When restricted to the totally symmetric subspace, $\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i$ is easily shown to be equal to $(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)$. Since X_5 in this notation is

$$X_5 = \frac{r}{n} \{(\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) \otimes (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) + (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) \otimes (\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)\},$$

we see that the cross terms contribute 8 more factors of $(r/n)^3 X_5$. In a similar way, one can show for any n that the $24n(n-1)$ cross terms make $8(n-1)(r/n)^3 X_5$. Altogether, we have

$$\epsilon^{ijklm} X_i X_j X_k X_l = (8n+16) \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^3 X_m.$$

We see then that (2) is satisfied for any n with the coefficient $\alpha = (8n + 16)(r/n)^3$. The dependence on n of the coefficient may seem strange. We will see later that this construction has the charge of n overlapping branes.

To verify property III, we note that the matrices

$$G_{ij}^{(n)} = [G_i^{(n)}, G_j^{(n)}]/2$$

are precisely the generators of the N -dimensional representation of $SO(5)$. These matrices can be simply exponentiated to get $U(R)$ for any rotation in $SO(5)$.

To verify property IV we need to consider the asymptotic form of the eigenvalue density of the matrices X_i as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We can compute the exact eigenvalue density at finite n by constructing a canonical basis for the symmetrized product space and calculating the eigenvalues of X_5 on each basis vector. As a basis, we can take the vectors

$$|i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n\rangle = \text{Sym}(u_{i_1} \otimes u_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i_n}) \quad (7)$$

where $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq 4$ and where $u_1, \dots, u_4$ are an eigenbasis of Γ_5 with eigenvalues $e_1 = e_2 = 1, e_3 = e_4 = -1$. Each vector of the form (7) is an eigenvector of $G_5^{(n)}$ with

$$G_5^{(n)} |i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n\rangle = (e_{i_1} + e_{i_2} + \cdots + e_{i_n}) |i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n\rangle.$$

To count the number of eigenvectors with a fixed eigenvalue m we see that the e_{i_k} eigenvalues must consist of $\frac{n+m}{2} + 1$'s and $\frac{n-m}{2} - 1$'s. There are precisely

$$\left(\frac{n+m}{2} + 1\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n-m}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{(n+2)^2 - m^2}{4}$$

ways of doing this, so this is the number of eigenvectors with eigenvalue m . Translating this result into the spectrum of X_5 we see that for the n th representation the spectrum of X_5 is

$$x_5 = r \frac{m}{n} \quad \text{with degeneracy} \quad \frac{(n+2)^2 - m^2}{4}.$$

This gives a 0-brane density proportional to $r^2 - x^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, verifying that the matrices we have constructed satisfy property IV.

2.3 Other values of N

We have explicitly constructed spherical Matrix L5-branes only for a restricted class of values of N . It would be interesting to know whether it is possible to construct matrices satisfying properties I-IV for any other values of N . From property III it is clear that N must be a dimension for which a (spin) representation of $SO(5)$ is possible. It might seem like the construction given here generalizes naturally by including some antisymmetrization on the tensor product space. However, it turns out that, except for the totally symmetric cases, the G_i 's mix different representations of $SO(5)$. For example, in the decomposition of

$SO(5)$ representations $\mathbf{4} \otimes \mathbf{4} = \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{5} \oplus \mathbf{1}$, G_i 's transform the states of the 5-dimensional representation into that of the 1-dimensional representation and vice versa.

As the simplest case of a dimension which corresponds to an $SO(5)$ representation but which does not admit an L5-brane sphere in the form we have found, consider $N = 5$. The infinitesimal form of the rotational invariance condition reads

$$\delta^{ik} X^j - \delta^{jk} X^i = [J^{ij}, X^k], \quad (8)$$

where J^{ij} are the (anti-hermitian) generators of $SO(5)$ whose components are given by

$$(J^{ij})_{lm} = \delta_l^i \delta_m^j - \delta_m^i \delta_l^j.$$

Using this, we can write down the components of (8),

$$\delta^{ik} X_{lm}^j - \delta^{jk} X_{lm}^i = \delta_l^i X_{jm}^k - \delta_l^j X_{im}^k + X_{li}^k \delta_m^j + X_{lj}^k \delta_m^i.$$

We will see that the only solution to this equation is the trivial one, namely, $X_{lm}^k = 0$ for all k, l, m . First, put $i = 1, j = 2, k = 5, l = 1, m = 3, 4, 5$. This gives $X_{2m}^5 = 0$ for $m = 3, 4, 5$. By choosing similar sets of indices, we can show that all the off-diagonal components of X^k are zero.

Each X^k is now a traceless diagonal 5×5 matrix. As such they cannot be linearly independent. So we can find a nonzero 5-vector u_k such that $u_k X^k = 0$. If we normalize u_k to be a unit vector, $u_k X^k$ is nothing but the component of the vector X in the u -direction. Since all the X^k 's are related to $u_k X^k$ by some unitary rotation, they should also vanish. This proves that there are no 5×5 matrices X^i satisfying conditions I-IV.

3 Physical properties

3.1 Energy

In units¹ where $l_{11} = 1$ the potential energy term in the Matrix Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{pot} = -R \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^2} \text{Tr}[X_i, X_j]^2.$$

Using the identities in Appendix A, we find that

$$H_{pot} = \frac{R}{4(2\pi)^2} \frac{r^4}{n^4} 16Nc = nT_{M5}(2\pi R)\Omega_4 r^4 + \mathcal{O}(1/n),$$

where $T_{M5} = (2\pi)^{-5}$ is the tension of an M5-brane and $\Omega_4 = 8\pi^2/3$ is the area of a unit 4-sphere. This is the right value of the energy for n spherical L5-branes.

¹See Appendix B for our normalization conventions.

3.2 4-brane charge

We can check to make sure the configuration corresponds to n spherical L5-branes by calculating the 4-brane charge of the “upper hemisphere” corresponding to the 0-branes with positive eigenvalues of X_5 .

An analogous calculation for the membrane sphere gives,

$$C_2 = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{2\pi} \text{Tr}_{1/2} [Y_1, Y_2] = \pi r^2 + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$$

which is at leading order precisely the area of the projected sphere.

For the 4-sphere we have

$$C_4 = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr}_{1/2} \epsilon^{ijkl} X_i X_j X_k X_l = n \frac{\pi^2 r^4}{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n),$$

which is n times the area of the projected 4-sphere.

There is another way of calculating the total charge of the brane. Recall that if we look at the small block of the X_i 's where X_5 has the largest eigenvalue, the brane is locally flat and the charge is well-defined. The charge of this block should be identified with the total charge of the brane times the ratio of the size of the block to the size of the whole matrix. For the membrane sphere, this definition gives

$$Q_2 = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2r}{N} \right)^2 \frac{N-1}{2} \times N = 4\pi r^2 + \mathcal{O}(1/N),$$

which is the area of a 2-sphere. For the 4-sphere,

$$Q_4 = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{8\pi^2} (8n+16) \left(\frac{r}{n} \right)^4 n \times N = n \frac{8\pi^2 r^4}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1/n),$$

which is n times the area of the 4-sphere.

Note that this charge multiplied by $2\pi R T_{M5}$ is exactly the same as the energy computed above at leading order in n . This reflects the fact that the brane is locally flat and BPS near any point on the sphere. The value of the charge and the energy lead us to conclude that our construction actually describes n overlapping spherical longitudinal 5-branes. As we will discuss in Section 3.6, it is not clear whether it is possible to separate the branes from each other.

3.3 Absence of 2-brane charge

The local BPS condition derived above implies that the 4-sphere is a pure L5-brane without 2-brane charge. It is indeed possible to show that the 2-brane charge vanishes in each block of the matrix X_5 for any n .

Each state of the n -fold totally symmetric tensor representation can be labeled by its weight in the $SO(5)$ Cartan subalgebra G_{12}, G_{34} ,

$$|V\rangle = \text{Sym}(|a_1 b_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_n b_n\rangle),$$

where $a_i = \pm i$ and $b_i = \pm i$ are eigenvalues for Γ_{12} and Γ_{34} respectively in each spinor representation that constitutes the tensor product. The eigenvalue of this state for G_{12} , G_{34} and G_5 is given by

$$G_{12}|V\rangle = \sum_i a_i|V\rangle, \quad G_{34}|V\rangle = \sum_i b_i|V\rangle, \quad G_5|V\rangle = \sum_i a_i b_i|V\rangle.$$

For a given state, we can obtain another state with the same G_5 eigenvalue by flipping the sign of every a_i and b_i . This new state has G_{12} , G_{34} eigenvalues which are equal in magnitude but opposite in sign from those of the original state. Therefore, if we take a trace over a subspace with a fixed G_5 eigenvalue, G_{12} and G_{34} vanish.

Since the 2-brane charge is proportional to $\text{Tr } G_{ij}$, it follows from the above result and rotational symmetry that the 2-brane charge vanishes completely everywhere on the 4-sphere.

3.4 Equations of motion

By inserting $X_i = (r/n)G_i$ into the Matrix action, we obtain the effective Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{N}{2R}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{nR\Omega_4}{(2\pi)^4 l_{11}^6} r^4 + \mathcal{O}(1/n). \quad (9)$$

from which we can derive the equation of motion for the spherical L5-brane

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{4nR^2\Omega_4}{(2\pi)^4 N l_{11}^6} r^3 \quad (10)$$

Factors of l_{11} have been restored so that we can compare with the predictions of M-theory.

To compare with M-theory, let us recall some recent statements about the nature of Matrix theory. Matrix theory can be considered as a definition of the discrete light-cone quantized (DLCQ) M-theory [15]. One way to derive the Matrix Hamiltonian from this point of view has been given by Seiberg [16]. He considers an M-theory with Planck length l_{11} compactified on a light-like circle with radius R and another M-theory ($\tilde{M}$) with Planck length $\tilde{l}_{11}$ compactified on a spatial circle with radius $\tilde{R}_s$. The light-cone Hamiltonian of M-theory is then identified with the ordinary Hamiltonian of the $\tilde{M}$ -theory minus $N/\tilde{R}_s$ in the limit $\tilde{R}_s, \tilde{l}_{11} \rightarrow 0$ with $\tilde{R}_s/\tilde{l}_{11}^2 = R/l_{11}^2$ fixed. In this limit, $\tilde{M}$ -theory becomes Type IIA with $\alpha' \rightarrow \infty$, $g_s \rightarrow 0$ and the dynamics is governed by the Hamiltonian for N slowly moving D0-branes which translates into the Matrix Hamiltonian.

One can now apply the same principle to the world-volume theory of n L5-branes instead of the full M-theory and check whether it leads to the same equation of motion. In $\tilde{M}$ theory, n L5-branes with $p^+ = N/R$ become a bound state of n D4 branes and N D0 branes. Such a system is described by the $U(n)$ Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action for the D4-branes around a background gauge field whose spatial field strength has instanton number N .

We assume the following form for the fields

$$\tilde{X}_i = \tilde{r}(t)n_i(\sigma), \quad (i = 1, \dots, 5)$$

$$F_{ab} = \frac{f_{ab}}{\tilde{r}^2}, \quad \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^4} f \wedge f = N, \quad f = *_4 f,$$

where σ^a , $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are the angular coordinates on the unit 4-sphere, n_i is a unit vector on the sphere and f_{ab} is the dimensionless field strength. $*_4$ denotes the Hodge dual on the 4-sphere. $\tilde{r}$ is related to the physical radius of M-theory r by $\tilde{r}/\tilde{l}_{11} = r/l_{11}$. With this ansatz, the DBI Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L_{DBI} &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 g_s l_s^5} \int d^4 \sigma \text{Tr} \sqrt{-\det(G_{ab} + 2\pi l_s^2 F_{ab})} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 g_s l_s^5} \int d^4 \sigma \tilde{r}^4 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\tilde{r}}^2} \text{Tr} \left(1 + \frac{(2\pi)^2 l_s^4}{4\tilde{r}^4} f_{ab} f^{ab} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Higher order terms in f from the square root vanish due to the self-duality of f .

Using the usual $\tilde{M}$ -IIA relations $\tilde{l}_{11} = g_s^{1/3} l_s$, $\tilde{R}_s = g_s l_s$, one can see that only three terms in the Lagrangian survive the $\tilde{R}_s$ limit mentioned above,

$$L_{DBI} = -\frac{N}{\tilde{R}_s} + \frac{N}{2R} \dot{\tilde{r}}^2 - \frac{nR\Omega_4 r^4}{(2\pi)^4 l_{11}^6}.$$

The first term is the (divergent) ground state energy we should subtract and the other two terms are exactly the same as in (9).

This derivation shows that the equations of motion of the Matrix theory L5-brane agree with those of the 5-brane of DLCQ M-theory, in accord with the philosophy of [16]. It would be nice to find a more direct connection with the equations of motion of the 5-brane in 11-dimensional supergravity by starting with a 5-brane action such as that of [17] and deriving the effective Lagrangian above. In principle, this should be possible. The spherical L5-brane with longitudinal momentum corresponds to an ansatz for the metric tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ and 2-form field $B_{\mu\nu}$ on the 5-brane world-volume such that the metric tensor is that of an embedded 4-sphere with a radius depending only on x^+ while the 3-form field strength $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is independent of x^- and reduces to the field strength of an instanton on the 4-brane with longitudinal momentum $T^{11}_0 = H^{11\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu 0}$. Such a derivation is left for future work.

3.5 Long-range interactions

The long-range gravitational field of the spherical L5-brane can be calculated by considering the interaction of the brane with a graviton. The leading long-distance potential between the L5-brane and a graviton can be determined using the standard one-loop Matrix theory calculation. The general form of the interaction potential between any two Matrix theory objects was described in [18, 6] and is given by²

$$V_{\text{matrix}} = -\frac{5}{128b^7} W$$

²In this and the following subsection, we follow the units of [6], in which $2\pi l_{11}^3 = R$.

where b is the separation distance between the objects and where the “gravitational coupling” W is given by

$$W = \text{Tr} \left[8F^\mu{}_\nu F^\nu{}_\lambda F^\lambda{}_\sigma F^\sigma{}_\mu + 16F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\lambda} F^{\nu\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma} - 4F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma} F^{\lambda\sigma} - 2F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\lambda\sigma} \right]. \quad (11)$$

Taking the probe graviton to be stationary in the transverse directions, the field strength components are given by $F_{0i} = -F_{i0} = \partial_i X_i$ and $F_{ij} = i[X_i, X_j]$.

The interaction potential can be computed exactly using the identities in Appendix A. The gravitational coupling for the L5-brane-graviton system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W &= 24N \left[0 \cdot \frac{r^8}{n^8} + 16 \cdot \frac{r^4 \dot{r}^2}{n^6} + \frac{\dot{r}^4}{n^4} \right] c^2 - 64N \left[48 \cdot \frac{r^8}{n^8} - 8 \cdot \frac{r^4 \dot{r}^2}{n^6} + \frac{\dot{r}^4}{n^4} \right] c \\ &= 96N \left[\frac{\dot{r}^4}{4} + 4 \frac{r^4 \dot{r}^2}{n^2} \right] \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(1/n)). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The terms which appear at leading order in n can also be computed directly. To leading order in n , the last two terms in (11) are proportional to $(F_{\mu\nu}^2)^2$, and will therefore be proportional to c^2 where c is the Casimir computed in (14). For large n , the first two terms in W will be dominated by the $n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)$ terms of the form $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1} + \text{sym.}$, that is, those terms with each Γ matrix in a different tensor block. These terms can be easily computed and shown to sum to the leading order term above.

This result can be compared to the interaction potential computed in supergravity. The supergravity potential describing the interaction due to single graviton exchange (with no longitudinal momentum transfer) between a massive object and a graviton with $p^+ = 1/R, p^- = 0$ in light-front coordinates is given by [6]

$$V_{\text{gravity}} = -\frac{15}{4} \frac{R}{b^7} T^{--}$$

where T^{--} is the $--$ component of the stress-energy tensor of the massive object integrated over $dx^- d^9 x^T$. The spherically symmetric membrane discussed in [6] has momentum p^- uniformly distributed over the membrane world-volume and can be treated as a pointlike object with $T^{--} = p^- p^- / p^+ = E^2 R / N$ where E is the light-front (Matrix theory) energy of the membrane. The story is similar for the L5-brane; however, because the L5-brane is extended in the longitudinal direction there is an additional contribution to the $--$ component of the stress-energy tensor from the brane tension, so that

$$T^{--} = \frac{R}{N} E^2 - \beta r^8.$$

The coefficient β can be fixed by noting that a configuration containing a relatively stationary 4-brane and 0-brane is supersymmetric [19]. Thus, the term in the stress-energy tensor proportional to L5-brane charge squared must vanish.

Calculating the Matrix theory energy of the spherical L5-brane

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{R} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{X}_i \dot{X}_i - \frac{1}{4} [X_i, X_j]^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{N}{R} \left(\frac{\dot{r}^2}{2n^2} + 4 \frac{r^4}{n^4} \right) c = \frac{N}{R} \left(\frac{\dot{r}^2}{2} + 4 \frac{r^4}{n^2} \right) \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \end{aligned}$$

we see that the Matrix theory and supergravity potentials are in exact agreement at leading order.

We have seen that the term in the Matrix theory potential proportional to r^8 vanishes at leading order in n , as we would expect from the fact that there is no static force between a 4-brane and a 0-brane. In the context of the DLCQ form of the Matrix theory conjecture, it is natural to expect that this term should vanish to all orders in n . However, this is not the case. The subleading term in (12) proportional to c contains a term proportional to r^8 . The discrepancy this suggests between Matrix theory and DLCQ supergravity is analogous to the finite N discrepancy noted in [6] for the membrane-graviton potential, and gives further evidence that there may be a distinction between the DLCQ of 11-dimensional supergravity and the low-energy DLCQ of M-theory [16, 20].

3.6 Fluctuations

We have successfully constructed longitudinal 5-branes whose geometry in Matrix theory corresponds to a completely symmetric 4-sphere. It is natural to want to extend this type of description to an arbitrary geometry with the topology of a 4-sphere. In the case of the Matrix membrane, a description of a general membrane geometry with spherical topology was given by de Wit, Hoppe and Nicolai [3]. Their general construction was based on the observation that an embedding of the spherical membrane world-volume can be approximated arbitrarily well by a polynomial in the coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3 on the world-volume of the sphere. They defined the natural map from polynomials in these coordinates to symmetric polynomials in the $SU(2)$ generators J_i , and showed that the Matrix equations of motion correspond to the membrane equations of motion in the large N limit. This equivalence follows from the fact that the matrix commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$ has the same action on symmetrized polynomials in the $SU(2)$ generators as the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ does on the corresponding polynomials in the coordinates x_i .

We would like to find a corresponding picture for the longitudinal 5-brane, which would allow us to describe the classical dynamics of an arbitrary L5-brane geometry. However, it is not possible to simply generalize the membrane results by considering symmetrized polynomials in the matrices G_i . We will now discuss briefly the problems which arise in attempting to do this. A straightforward generalization of the membrane description of de Wit, Hoppe and Nicolai might indicate that we could include a fluctuation in the membrane configuration by simply adding higher order symmetric polynomials in the G 's to the Matrix configuration (6). In order for this proposal to work, it is necessary that the accelerations

$\ddot{X}^i$ calculated through the equations of motion

$$\ddot{X}_i = -[[X_i, X_j], X_j]$$

should themselves be expressible in terms of symmetrized polynomials in the G 's. Indeed, this is generally not possible. We will now demonstrate this in a simple case. Let us consider an L5-brane which is embedded at $t = 0$ according to a nonlinear function of the matrices G

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 &= \frac{r^2}{2n^2} (G_1 G_2 + G_2 G_1) \\ X^2 &= \frac{r}{n} G_3. \end{aligned}$$

The acceleration of X^1 can be found from the equations of motion

$$\ddot{X}^1 = -[[X^1, X^2], X^2].$$

Let us analyze the structure of these expressions for arbitrary n . The symmetrized product in X^1 gives rise to a matrix containing terms of the form $\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2 \otimes \Gamma_1$ acting on the various pairs of spaces in the tensor product. The repeated commutator with X^2 gives two types of terms: the first is simply proportional to X^1 ; the second is of the form

$$\Gamma_{13} \otimes \Gamma_{23} + \Gamma_{23} \otimes \Gamma_{13} \tag{13}$$

acting on all pairs of spaces in the tensor product space. In order to find a polynomial expression for $\ddot{X}^1$ it would be necessary to express (13) as a polynomial in the G 's. However, this does not seem to be possible. Any polynomial containing terms of higher than quadratic order would have a matrix tensor expression in which there was a nontrivial action on more than two of the blocks in the tensor product space. And an explicit check of all linear and quadratic polynomials indicates that (13) cannot be expressed as a linear combination of such terms.

Thus, it seems that the Matrix equations of motion do not close on the class of symmetric polynomials in the generating matrices G_i . This makes it difficult to imagine how one might incorporate a generally fluctuating L5-brane into the framework we have described here. A further complication arises from the fact that our spherical membranes have a winding number n which is related to the number of 0-branes N used in the construction. Since the solutions we have given describe multiple spherical membranes, some of the degrees of freedom of the system should correspond to modes where some of the spheres become smaller than others. In the large radius limit, these degrees of freedom should correspond to zero-modes of the system. However, these degrees of freedom do not seem to appear naturally in the spherical membranes we have constructed. For example, the double sphere with $n = 2$ contains $N = 10$ 0-branes. It should be possible to split the sphere into two independent membrane spheres of radii $r \pm \epsilon$. However, we only know of a single sphere construction for $N = 4$, so that somehow two 0-branes would have to be annihilated in the course of this decomposition.

4 Conclusions

We have given an explicit construction of longitudinal 5-branes with transverse spherical geometry in Matrix theory. These spherical branes have many of the physical properties we expect from M-theory. However, there is an unusual relationship between the number of 0-branes needed to construct the 5-brane and the winding number of the 5-brane configuration. Unlike the spherical matrix membrane, the 4-sphere brane solutions cannot be constructed for arbitrary N . Furthermore, it seems to be difficult to understand the fluctuation spectra of the spherical 5-branes in terms of Matrix theory variables. The difficulties we have encountered here do not prove conclusively that there is no Matrix theory description of a fluctuating longitudinal 5-brane. However, they indicate that it will not be straightforward to find a description of the longitudinal 5-brane with arbitrary geometry. It may be that the best way to find such a description would involve a direct quantization in light-front coordinates of a 5-brane action. This problem is left as a challenge for further research.

It would also be interesting to try to find a construction analogous to that given here for a transverse Matrix 5-brane. Although the charge for such an object does not seem to appear in the Matrix theory supersymmetry algebra [11], an implicit description of a flat transverse 5-brane was given in [8] using super Yang-Mills S-duality in $D = 4$. If a set of matrices could indeed be found describing 0-branes localized on a 5-sphere, it might give some interesting insights into the nature of the transverse 5-brane in Matrix theory.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dan Kabat, Morten Krogh, Shiraz Minwalla, Jaemo Park, Larus Thorlacius and Dan Waldram for helpful discussions. The work of SL is supported in part by the Department of Energy (DOE) under contract DE-FG02-91ER40671. The work of WT is supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under contract PHY96-00258.

Appendix A. Some matrix identities

Let us begin with the definitions of the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= (\Gamma_i \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \Gamma_i \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} + \cdots + \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \Gamma_i)_{\text{Sym}}, \\ G_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}[G_i, G_j] \end{aligned}$$

The dimension and the “Casimir” of the n -fold totally symmetric representation are given by

$$N = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}, \quad c = n(n+4).$$

The Casimir, c , appears in the following identities:

$$G_i G_i = c \mathbb{1}_N, \quad G_{ij} G_{ji} = 4c \mathbb{1}_N. \quad (14)$$

These follow from the basic identities

$$(\Gamma_i \otimes \Gamma_i)_{\text{Sym}} = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1})_{\text{Sym}}, \quad (\Gamma_{ij} \otimes \Gamma_{ji})_{\text{Sym}} = 4(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1})_{\text{Sym}}.$$

The fact that these matrices are proportional to the identity matrix is a result of Schur’s lemma. The proportionality constant can be determined by multiplying both sides by any vector in the symmetric representation in a specific basis.

The commutators of G_i ’s and G_{jk} ’s are easily obtained from those of gamma matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{ij}, G_k] &= 2(\delta_{jk} G_i - \delta_{ik} G_j), \\ [G_{ij}, G_{kl}] &= 2(\delta_{jk} G_{il} + \delta_{il} G_{jk} - \delta_{ik} G_{jl} - \delta_{jl} G_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

There is a very useful identity between the anti-commutators:

$$\{G_{ij}, G_{jk}\} + \{G_i, G_k\} = 2c \delta_{ik} \mathbb{1}_N.$$

To prove this, we need to use the Fierz identity among the gamma matrices of Spin(5) in addition to Schur’s lemma. We omit the details.

Combining the above definitions and identities, it is straightforward to derive the following trace formulas that can be used in the calculation of the gravitational interaction.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (G_i G_j G_i G_j) &= N(c^2 - 8c) & \text{Tr} (G_i G_j G_{ik} G_{kj}) &= -8Nc \\ \text{Tr} (G_i G_{jk} G_i G_{jk}) &= N(-4c^2 + 16c) & \text{Tr} (G_{ij} G_{kl} G_{ij} G_{kl}) &= N(16c^2 - 96c) \\ \text{Tr} (G_{ij} G_{jk} G_{kl} G_{li}) &= N(4c^2 + 32c) & \text{Tr} (G_{ij} G_{jk} G_{il} G_{lk}) &= N(4c^2 - 40c) \\ \text{Tr} (G_i G_j G_{jk} G_{ki}) &= \text{Tr} (G_i G_{ik} G_j G_{jk}) = \text{Tr} (G_i G_{jk} G_j G_{ik}) &= 16Nc \end{aligned}$$

Appendix B. Normalization conventions

The 11 dimensional Planck length l_{11} is defined by

$$16\pi G_{11} = (2\pi)^8 l_{11}^9,$$

where G_{11} is the 11-dimensional Newton's constant. In this convention, the tensions of the membrane and the 5-brane take the simple forms

$$T_{M2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 l_{11}^3}, \quad T_{M5} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5 l_{11}^6}.$$

The bosonic part of the Matrix theory Hamiltonian and Lagrangian read

$$H = R \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Pi_i^2 - \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^2 l_{11}^6} [X_i, X_j]^2 \right\}, \quad L = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{2R} \dot{X}_i^2 + \frac{R}{4(2\pi)^2 l_{11}^6} [X_i, X_j]^2 \right\}.$$

We choose a convention for the string coupling g_s of the Type IIA theory in such a way that the following relations are exact

$$l_{11} = g_s^{1/3} l_s, \quad R_{11} = g_s l_s,$$

where $l_s = \sqrt{\alpha'}$ is the string length and R_{11} is the compactification radius. With this choice, the tension of the D- p -branes become

$$T_{Dp} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^p g_s l_s^{p+1}}.$$

The low energy dynamics of the D-branes are governed by the DBI action:

$$S_{DBI} = -T_{Dp} \int d^{p+1} \sigma \operatorname{Tr} \sqrt{-\det(G_{ab} + B_{ab} + 2\pi l_s^2 F_{ab})}.$$

References

- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. Shenker, and L. Susskind, “M Theory as a Matrix Model: A Conjecture,” `hep-th/9610043`.
- [2] J. Goldstone, unpublished; J. Hoppe, MIT Ph.D. thesis (1982); J. Hoppe, in proc. Int. Workshop on Constraint’s Theory and Relativistic Dynamics; eds. G. Longhi and L. Lusanna (World Scientific, 1987).
- [3] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B305** [FS 23] (1988) 545.
- [4] O. Aharony and M. Berkooz, “Membrane Dynamics in M(atr)ix Theory,” `hep-th/9611215`.
- [5] G. Lifschytz and S. Mathur, “Supersymmetry and Membrane Interactions in M(atr)ix Theory,” `hep-th/9612087`.
- [6] D. Kabat and W. Taylor, “Spherical membranes in Matrix theory,” `hep-th/9711078`.
- [7] M. Berkooz and M. R. Douglas, “Five-branes in M(atr)ix Theory,” `hep-th/9610236`.
- [8] O. J. Ganor, S. Ramgoolam and W. Taylor, “Branes, Fluxes and Duality in M(atr)ix-Theory,” `hep-th/9611202`.
- [9] E. Witten, “Small Instantons in String Theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 541, `hep-th/9511030`.
- [10] M. R. Douglas, “Branes within Branes,” `hep-th/9512077`.
- [11] T. Banks, N. Seiberg, and S. Shenker, “Branes from Matrices,” `hep-th/9612157`.
- [12] G. Lifschytz, “Four-brane and Six-brane Interactions in M(atr)ix Theory,” `hep-th/9612223`.
- [13] S.-J. Rey, “Gravitating M(atr)ix Q-Balls,” `hep-th/9711081`.
- [14] H. Grosse, C. Klimčík, P. Prešnajder, “On finite 4D quantum field theory in non-commutative geometry,” `hep-th/9602115`.
- [15] L. Susskind, “Another Conjecture about M(atr)ix Theory,” `hep-th/9704080`.
- [16] N. Seiberg, “Why is the Matrix Model Correct?,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 3577, `hep-th/9710009`.
- [17] J. H. Schwarz, “Coupling a self-dual tensor to gravity in six dimensions,” `hep-th/9701008`.

- [18] I. Chepelev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Interactions of type IIB D-branes from D-instanton matrix model,” `hep-th/9705120`.
- [19] J. Polchinski, “TASI Lectures on D-branes,” `hep-th/9611050`.
- [20] S. Hellerman and J. Polchinski, “Compactification in the Lightlike Limit,” `hep-th/9711037`.